

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,857	08/18/2003	Gregory Andrew Roy	G&C 30566.17-US-C3	3664
55895 GATES & CO	7590 03/23/2007 OPER LLP		EXAM	IINER
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER			NGUYEN, PHU K	
6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1050 LOS ANGELES, CA 90045		50	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2628	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 03/23/2007		PAI	PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/642,857	ROY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Phu K. Nguyen	2628			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the second state of the second	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 S	eptember 2006.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive				
		Shullgign			
		PHUK. NGUYEN			
Attachment(s)		PRIMARY EXAMINER			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	•			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2628

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claimed "article of manufacture embodying logic" is not specifically defined in the Disclosure; it is unclear as whether it indicates computer memory storing the encoded instructions or the software product downloaded from the communication networks.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 15-21 claims "a article of manufacture embodying logic," which is not specifically defined in the original disclosure, can be reasonably interpreted as "software downloaded from the transmission media," or signals from the wave carriers. Claims that recite nothing but the physical characteristics of a form of energy, such as frequency, voltage, or the strength of a magnetic field, define energy or magnetism, per

Art Unit: 2628

se, and as such are non statutory natural phenomena O'Reilly, 56 U.S. (15 How.) at 112-14.

Claims 1-14 claim a method and system in which each step or means is just the descriptive language of the computer software in claims 15-21. Such claimed method/system preempts an abstract idea or just running a computer program without producing a useful, tangible and concrete result.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WOLFF (5,847,708).

As per claim 1, Wolff teaches the claimed "method of obtaining a map in a computer graphics program" comprising: "receiving a request for a map picture" (Wolff, the object icons arranged within the cognitive document map; column 7, lines 36-40); "obtaining a map file" (Wolff, the structure of cognitive document map consists a map file; column 5, lines 11-16); "determining, from the map file, a uniform resource locator (URL) that identifies a storage location of map data, wherein the map data defines one or more map objects of the map picture" (Wolff, column 6, lines 9-38); and "obtaining the map data from the location, wherein the obtained map data satisfies the request for

Art Unit: 2628

the map picture" (Wolff, column 6, lines 39-51). It is noted that Wolff does not teach the map picture is "vector based" map picture. However, Wolff's graphical images on the web pages or html.doc (column 5, lines 13-16) contain several different formatted graphical objects including the "vector based" map picture as claimed. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to down load the map picture from the Internet WebPages in Wolff's reference containing "vector based" map picture because of Wolff's system ability to access to an unlimited number of graphical web sites where the "vector based" map picture is used.

Claim 2 adds into claim 1 only the map data required to satisfy the request is obtained (Wolff, column 6, lines 42-51; or step 305, figure 3).

Claim 3 adds into claim 1 "displaying the map picture" (Wolff, col. 6, lines 48-51).

Claim 4 adds into claim 1 "the map data is obtained from a map server across a network connection" (Wolff, column 5, lines 34-55).

Claim 5 adds into claim 1 "creating the map file" (Wolff, column 5, lines 11-16; column 7, lines 45-51).

Claim 6 adds into claim 1 "setting map display properties and a level of interaction" (Wolff, column 5, lines 18-26; the interaction metrics; column 8, lines 8-42).

Art Unit: 2628

Claim 7 adds into claim 1 "the claim steps are performed by a browser plug-in" (Wolff's browsers are program stored in the client system or server system or plug in; column 5, lines 53-55; column 6, lines 3-8).

As per claim 8, Wolff teaches the claimed "apparatus for obtaining a map computer-implemented graphics system" comprising: a computer (Wolff, processor 502, figure 5) and an application executing on the computer (Wolff, column 4, lines 35-41)), wherein the application is configured to "receiving a request for a map picture" (Wolff, the object icons arranged within the cognitive document map; column 7, lines 36-40); "obtaining a map file" (Wolff, the structure of cognitive document map consists a map file; column 5, lines 11-16); "determining, from the map file, a uniform resource locator (URL) that identifies a storage location of map data, wherein the map data defines one or more map objects of the map picture" (Wolff, column 6, lines 9-38); and "obtaining the map data from the location, wherein the obtained map data satisfies the request for the map picture" (Wolff, column 6, lines 39-51). It is noted that Wolff does not teach the map picture is "vector based" map picture. However, Wolff's graphical images on the web pages or html.doc (column 5, lines 13-16) contain several different formatted graphical objects including the "vector based" map picture as claimed. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to down load the map picture from the Internet WebPages in Wolff's reference containing "vector based" map picture because of Wolff's system ability to access to an

Art Unit: 2628

unlimited number of graphical web sites where the "vector based" map picture is used.

Claim 9 adds into claim 8 only the map data required to satisfy the request is obtained (Wolff, column 6, lines 42-51; or step 305, figure 3).

Claim 10 adds into claim 8 "displaying the map picture" (Wolff, col. 6, lines 48-51).

Claim 11 adds into claim 8 "the map data is obtained from a map server across a network connection" (Wolff, column 5, lines 34-55).

Claim 12 adds into claim 8 "creating the map file" (Wolff, column 5, lines 11-16; column 7, lines 45-51).

Claim 13 adds into claim 8 "setting map display properties and a level of interaction" (Wolff, column 5, lines 18-26; the interaction metrics; column 8, lines 8-42).

Claim 14 adds into claim 8 "the claim steps are performed by a browser plug-in" (Wolff's browsers are program stored in the client system or server system or plug in; column 5, lines 53-55; column 6, lines 3-8).

As per claim 15, Wolff teaches the claimed "article of manufacture embodying

Art Unit: 2628

logic that causes a computer-implemented graphics system to obtain a map" wherein the logic comprises: "receiving a request for a map picture" (Wolff, the object icons arranged within the cognitive document map; column 7, lines 36-40); "obtaining a map file" (Wolff, the structure of cognitive document map consists a map file; column 5, lines 11-16); "determining, from the map file, a uniform resource locator (URL) that identifies a storage location of map data, wherein the map data defines one or more map objects of the map picture" (Wolff, column 6, lines 9-38); and "obtaining the map data from the location, wherein the obtained map data satisfies the request for the map picture" (Wolff, column 6, lines 39-51). It is noted that Wolff does not teach the map picture is "vector based" map picture. However, Wolff's graphical images on the web pages or html.doc (column 5, lines 13-16) contain several different formatted graphical objects including the "vector based" map picture as claimed. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to down load the map picture from the Internet WebPages in Wolff's reference containing "vector based" map picture because of Wolff's system ability to access to an unlimited number of graphical web sites where the "vector based" map picture is used.

Claim 16 adds into claim 15 only the map data required to satisfy the request is obtained (Wolff, column 6, lines 42-51; or step 305, figure 3).

Claim 17 adds into claim 15 "displaying the map picture" (Wolff, col. 6, Is. 48-51).

Art Unit: 2628

Claim 18 adds into claim 15 "the map data is obtained from a map server across a network connection" (Wolff, column 5, lines 34-55).

Claim 19 adds into claim 15 "creating the map file" (Wolff, column 5, lines 11-16; column 7, lines 45-51).

Claim 10 adds into claim 15 "setting map display properties and a level of interaction" (Wolff, column 5, lines 18-26; the interaction metrics; column 8, lines 8-42).

Claim 21 adds into claim 15 "the claim steps are performed by a browser plug-in" (Wolff's browsers are program stored in the client system or server system or plug in; column 5, lines 53-55; column 6, lines 3-8).

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KOCHEVAR (The Tecate Data Space Exploration Utility).

As per claim 1, Kochevar teaches the claimed "method of obtaining a map in a computer graphics program" comprising: "receiving a request for a map picture" (Kochevar, the MapQuery Tool; figure 3); "obtaining a map file" (Kochevar, section 5.1 Visualizing Data in a Database; pages 161-162); "determining, from the map file, a uniform resource locator (URL) that identifies a storage location of map data, wherein the map data defines one or more map objects of the map picture" (Kochevar, section

Art Unit: 2628

5.2, Browsing the World Wide Web; page 162); and "obtaining the map data from the location, wherein the obtained map data satisfies the request for the map picture" (Kochevar, figure 7). It is noted that Wolff does not teach the map picture is "vector based" map picture. However, Kochevar's graphical images on the web pages or html.doc (section 5.2, page 162) contain several different formatted graphical objects including the "vector based" map picture as claimed. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to down load the map picture from the Internet WebPages in Kochevar's reference containing "vector based" map picture because of Wolff's system ability to access to an unlimited number of graphical web sites where the "vector based" map picture is used.

Claim 2 adds into claim 1 only the map data required to satisfy the request is obtained (Kochevar, page 162, column 1, section 5.2, Browsing the World Wide Web).

Claim 3 adds into claim 1 "displaying the map picture" (Kochevar, figures 7-8).

Claim 4 adds into claim 1 "the map data is obtained from a map server across a network connection" (Kochevar, page 162, column 1, section 5.2, Browsing the World Wide Web).

Claim 5 adds into claim 1 "creating the map file" (Kochevar, section 5.1 Visualizing Data in a Database; pages 161-162).

Art Unit: 2628

Claim 6 adds into claim 1 "setting map display properties and a level of interaction" (Kochevar, page 161, section 4.4 The WWW Interface).

Claim 7 adds into claim 1 "the claim steps are performed by a browser plug-in" (Kochevar, page 160, column 2, section 4 application Resource).

As per claim 8, Kochevar teaches the claimed "apparatus for obtaining a map computer-implemented graphics system" comprising: a computer (Kochevar, Abstract Visualization Machine; figure 1) and an application executing on the computer (Kochevar, page 159, section 2.2, Object Manager), wherein the application is configured to: "receiving a request for a map picture" (Kochevar, the MapQuery Tool; figure 3); "obtaining a map file" (Kochevar, section 5.1 Visualizing Data in a Database; pages 161-162); "determining, from the map file, a uniform resource locator (URL) that identifies a storage location of map data, wherein the map data defines one or more map objects of the map picture" (Kochevar, section 5.2, Browsing the World Wide Web; page 162); and "obtaining the map data from the location, wherein the obtained map data satisfies the request for the map picture" (Kochevar, figure 7). It is noted that Wolff does not teach the map picture is "vector based" map picture. However, Kochevar's graphical images on the web pages or html.doc (section 5.2, page 162) contain several different formatted graphical objects including the "vector based" map picture as

Art Unit: 2628

claimed. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to down load the map picture from the Internet WebPages in Kochevar's reference containing "vector based" map picture because of Wolff's system ability to access to an unlimited number of graphical web sites where the "vector based" map picture is used.

Claim 9 adds into claim 8 only the map data required to satisfy the request is obtained (Kochevar, page 162, column 1, section 5.2, Browsing the World Wide Web).

Claim 10 adds into claim 8 "displaying the map picture" (Kochevar, figures 7-8).

Claim 11 adds into claim 8 "the map data is obtained from a map server across a network connection" (Kochevar, page 162, column 1, section 5.2, Browsing the World Wide Web).

Claim 12 adds into claim 8 "creating the map file" (Kochevar, section 5.1 Visualizing Data in a Database; pages 161-162).

Claim 13 adds into claim 8 "setting map display properties and a level of interaction" (Kochevar, page 161, section 4.4 The WWW Interface).

Claim 14 adds into claim 8 "the claim steps are performed by a browser plug-in"

Art Unit: 2628

(Kochevar, page 160, column 2, section 4 application Resource).

As per claim 15, Kochevar teaches the claimed "article of manufacture" embodying logic that causes a computer-implemented graphics system to obtain a map" wherein the logic comprises: "receiving a request for a map picture" (Kochevar, the MapQuery Tool; figure 3); "obtaining a map file" (Kochevar, section 5.1 Visualizing Data in a Database; pages 161-162); "determining, from the map file, a uniform resource locator (URL) that identifies a storage location of map data, wherein the map data defines one or more map objects of the map picture" (Kochevar, section 5.2, Browsing the World Wide Web; page 162); and "obtaining the map data from the location, wherein the obtained map data satisfies the request for the map picture" (Kochevar, figure 7). It is noted that Wolff does not teach the map picture is "vector based" map picture. However, Kochevar's graphical images on the web pages or html.doc (section 5.2, page 162) contain several different formatted graphical objects including the "vector based" map picture as claimed. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to down load the map picture from the Internet WebPages in Kochevar's reference containing "vector based" map picture because of Wolff's system ability to access to an unlimited number of graphical web sites where the "vector based" map picture is used.

Claim 16 adds into claim 15 only the map data required to satisfy the request is obtained (Kochevar, page 162, column 1, section 5.2, Browsing the World Wide Web).

Art Unit: 2628

Claim 17 adds into claim 15 "displaying the map picture" (Kochevar, figures 7-8).

Claim 18 adds into claim 15 "the map data is obtained from a map server across a network connection" (Kochevar, page 162, column 1, section 5.2, Browsing the World Wide Web).

Claim 19 adds into claim 15 "creating the map file" (Kochevar, section 5.1 Visualizing Data in a Database; pages 161-162).

Claim 20 adds into claim 15 "setting map display properties and a level of interaction" (Kochevar, page 161, section 4.4 The WWW Interface).

Claim 21 adds into claim 15 "the claim steps are performed by a browser plug-in" (Kochevar, page 160, column 2, section 4 application Resource).

Due to new grounds of the rejection, this action has been made NON-FINAL.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phu K. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272 7645. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

Art Unit: 2628

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (571) 272 7664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Phu K. Nguyen March 6, 2007 PHU K. NGUYEN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 2300